

THE DAILY JOURNAL

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 28, 1896.

Washington Office—140 Pennsylvania Avenue

Telephone Calls.

Business Office.....233 | Editorial rooms.....A 86

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION.

DAILY BY MAIL.

Daily only, one month.....\$1.70

Daily only, three months.....\$4.50

Daily only, six months.....\$8.00

Daily only, one year.....\$14.00

Sunday only, one year.....\$2.50

WHEN FURNISHED BY AGENTS.

Daily, per week, by carrier.....15 cts

Daily, single copy.....5 cts

Daily and Sunday by carrier.....20 cts

Per year.....\$1.00

Reduced Rates to Clubs.

Subscribers to any of our numerous agents or

send subscriptions to the

JOURNAL NEWSPAPER COMPANY,

Indianapolis, Ind.

Persons sending the Journal through the mails in

the United States should put on an eight-page

paper a U.S. postage stamp of 5 cts, a twelve-

page paper a TWO-CENT postage

stamp. Foreign postage is usually double these

rates.

All communications intended for publication in

this paper must, in order to receive attention, be

accompanied by the name and address of the

writer.

THE INDIANAPOLIS JOURNAL.

Can be found at the following places:

NEW YORK—Windsor Hotel and Astor House.

CHICAGO—Palmer House and P. O. News Co.

CINCINNATI—J. R. Hawley & Co., 154 Vine

LOUISVILLE—C. T. Deering, northwest corner

of Third and Adams streets, and Louisville

No. 36 Fourth avenue.

ST. LOUIS—Union News Company, Union Depot.

WASHINGTON, D. C.—Higgs House, Ebbitt

House, Willard Hotel and the Washington

Exchange, Pennsylvania street, between

Penn. avenue and F street.

The secret ballot will cut a large figure in the

defeat of Bryan.

Perhaps Watson's letter of acceptance will

be published after the election.

If the Journal knows a panic when it sees it

the Bryanites are panic-stricken now.

The chances are that all estimates of

McKinley majorities will fall short of the

truth.

The coercion that will make workmen vote

for McKinley and sound money is the

coercion of common sense and self-interest.

Bryan will spend the remaining days of

the campaign revolutionizing Chicago.

Next Tuesday Chicago will revolutionize

him.

Mr. Ridpath will have a new chapter of

history to write when election is over. He

can head it: "How I Failed to Become a

Congressman."

Labor and skill are the only things the

wage earner has to sell. Very naturally,

he is averse to selling them for a dollar

which is not a dollar.

The Bryan campaign in this country

seems to have been turned over to a few

soreheads who have been Republicans and

to Popocrats like Jennings.

Four years hence the present leaders of the

free-silver wing of the Democratic party

will be printing affidavits to prove that

they were never anything but "regulars."

If Mr. Ridpath is of a scientific and mathemat-

ical sort, he will calculate the number of

millions of miles between him and Con-

gress.

From the way Popocratic committeemen

are scrambling to get out of the party it

looks as if the closing days of the cam-

paign would be more of a foot race than a

fight.

Wendell Phillips once said: "I do not be-

lieve in the people, but I do believe in the

people aroused." If he were here now he

would believe in the people. They are

aroused.

The exodus of sound-money men from the

Popocrat party gains momentum and

numbers every day. There are not enough

brains left in the free-silver faction to give

it respectability.

The "coercion" cry has been greatly over-

worked by the Bryanites. Self-respecting

men do not like to be charged with cow-

ardice, and are not drawn to the Pop can-

didate by such tactics.

Thomas Jefferson said the legal ratio be-

tween gold and silver coin should conform

as nearly as possible to the commercial

ratio between the metals. William Jennings

Bryan says: "My friends, I think not."

"at a little over 15.325." Observe, "A little over." It may be 15.329 or 15.347, but it will be there or thereabout. The value of this prediction is shown by the further statement that "where a poll has been taken the farmers are unanimously in favor of free coinage." People who form their opinions on such absurd "guff" as this will find that they have been awfully misled. It will take five good-sized figures to express McKinley's plurality in this State.

GEN. HARRISON AND THE FLAG.

An editorial from the Washington Post, copied in the Journal of yesterday, paid a very high tribute to the ability, patriotism and political consistency of Gen. Harrison. The Post is an independent paper of Democratic proclivities, and somewhat noted for its frank and honest expressions of opinion. No part of its eulogy of General Harrison was truer than the statement that "he is a man of country with an immeasurable love for the Union and its flag. It is a passion without bounds." The country will bear willing testimony to the truth of this statement. Among all the qualities that have led the people to accord to General Harrison the foremost place among living American statesmen there is none more conspicuous or that has more deeply impressed itself on the popular mind than his love for the flag. It may be that he is not more patriotic in this regard than thousands of other Americans, but certain it is that no other public man has, on so many different occasions and under such varied circumstances, expressed so strongly and feelingly his love for the flag and his patriotic duty of defending it and the principles it represents. It would be safe to say that more passages distinctly referring to the flag and eulogizing it as the emblem of liberty, can be found in the public utterances of General Harrison than in those of any other American statesman. This does not prove that others were less patriotic than he, but it does prove that he more than most others has mastered the deep significance of the flag and made love and reverence for it a part of his political creed and second nature. As President and as ex-President, in public office or in private station, in his messages and speeches he has never omitted an opportunity to refer to the flag as the visible emblem of unchanging principles and to inculcate respect and love for it as the highest duty of every American citizen. General Harrison's great ability and public services would command respect under any circumstances, but it is his intense patriotism and Americanism which more than anything else have won for him the universal admiration and implicit confidence of the people.

AN ATTEMPTED BOYCOTT.

So far as known, the only attempt at coercion that has been made in this city appeared in the Sentinel yesterday. It was in the form of an editorial attacking the officers of the Indianapolis Brewing Company by name as "British syndicate beer brewing criminals," and demanding that they be arrested and punished for an alleged violation of law. The specific charge is that the company has laid a switching track connecting one of its breweries with the Big Four railroad, that said track crosses the west end of New York street, and that it was laid without the knowledge or consent of the city authorities. This is not true. The track does not cross New York street nor any other street, and it was not laid without an understanding with the city authorities. It only crosses private property, and the right of way was secured before it was laid. The animus of the attack appears in the fact that it names Messrs. Albert Lieber, president of the Indianapolis Brewing Company, John P. Frenzel, secretary, and Otto Frenzel, treasurer, as violators of law, and says, "Punish the British syndicate beer-brewing criminals." The trouble is these gentlemen, like many other Democrats, have openly repudiated the Popocratic platform and candidate and are doing all in their power to defeat them. They are for sound money, and if they contribute to any campaign fund this year it will be for the promotion of that cause. Hence the Bryan orator holds them up as violators of the law and calls on its readers to boycott their business. It says:

The people who believe that the rich should be taxed, at least in a moderate way, as the poor have this matter in their own hands. Let all those of that opinion resolve that they will not drink a drop of Indianapolis brewery beer until this track is removed and the guilty parties are punished to the full extent of the law.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

WHO ARE THE DRONES?

In several of his speeches Bryan has referred to capitalists and employers of labor as "drones." He says they are "the nonworkers in the hive who live on honey gathered by others." This statement is as far from the truth as many other of his statements. There are drones in this country, and too many of them, but they are not the capitalists, manufacturers and employers of labor. They are the men who have failed in business because of incapacity or lack of attention to it have joined the great army of discontent and become chronic calamity howlers. They are the curstion politicians and street-corner orators who, thinking they see a chance to get something for nothing, are enthusiastic for free silver. They are the "played-out" farmers who, having spent the time in the country towns talking politics or whittling store boxes which they have spent at home working, have lost their farms and the respect of their thrifty neighbors. They are the seedy politicians and characterless demagogues who are ever ready to mount any hobby that they think will carry them into some sort of place. They are the worthless loafers who walk the streets of all large cities deprecating the hard times and abusing the government while their wives take in washing. They are the dishonest dealers who, instead of working to earn money, with which to pay their debts, spend their time talking in favor of cheap money and repudiation. They are the self-made financiers who, never having saved a dollar in their lives, are foremost in telling how the national finances have been mismanaged. They are the blatherskites and ignoramus like "Coin," who earn a living by selling books to the people instructing them how to extract sunbeams from cucumbers. They are the numerous class in all large

cities who have no visible means of support and who insist that there is not money enough to do the business of the country. They are the fellows who never work except when they are sent to the workhouse, and who are ready to vote for anything that they think will hurt "plutocrats." These and their kind are the drones of the country, and 99 per cent. of them are for free silver and Bryan. It is because a candidate whose political following is largely composed of that class of call manufacturers, capitalists and employers of labor "drones."

THE DEMOCRATIC STAMPEDE.

The daily announcements of Democrats who will not support Bryan and stand upon the platform of the silver mine owners and of Aligned and Tillman make a stampee. On Monday four or five Democrats who have been prominent in the party gave the reasons why they cannot support Bryan. Yesterday others followed. Not less than a dozen men who have been prominent in the State or in their counties are now making speeches in Indiana against Bryan. The weak persons who are in charge of the Marion county Popocratic machine have no conception of the extent of the revolt, even among men who are members of the city committee. Chairman Martin betrays his apprehension of the stampee that is going on when he utters a warning to prevent the bribing of members of Popocratic committees and election boards. His alarm is not that such Democrats will be bribed, but that they are quietly following such leaders as Bynum, Wilson, Pickens, Cooper, Sheerin, Holt, Robinson, Terhune, Nelson, McLean and others away from the sham Democracy of Bryan. They are wise enough to see that when the Democratic party shall be reorganized in Indiana it will be under the leaders named and with the principles which were discarded by the Chicago convention.

Nothing can check this leaving of the Popocratic party in Indiana, the like of which was never seen before. No one can fully comprehend its extent until the votes shall be counted next Tuesday night. Mr. Pickens and his associates know more of its extent than any one outside of their organization. They know their men in nearly every county, and when they predict that it means a loss of 40,000 votes to Bryan they know what they are talking about. If the number is 20,000, if alone defeats Bryanism and Popocracy in Indiana. Furthermore, it is a source of demoralization to the Popocratic managers, who are now forced to stop trading with the Populists and turn their attention to efforts to keep those who have been Democrats in line.

SHORT-SIGHTED POLITICS.

Everybody remembers that parable of the wise man who built his house upon a rock; And the rain descended, and the floods came, and the winds blew, and beat upon that house, and it fell not, for it was founded upon a rock. And there was another, a foolish man, who built his house upon the sand; And the rain descended, and the floods came, and the winds blew, and beat upon that house, and it fell, and great was the fall of it. The trouble is these gentlemen, like many other Democrats, have openly repudiated the Popocratic platform and candidate and are doing all in their power to defeat them. They are for sound money, and if they contribute to any campaign fund this year it will be for the promotion of that cause. Hence the Bryan orator holds them up as violators of the law and calls on its readers to boycott their business. It says:

The people who believe that the rich should be taxed, at least in a moderate way, as the poor have this matter in their own hands. Let all those of that opinion resolve that they will not drink a drop of Indianapolis brewery beer until this track is removed and the guilty parties are punished to the full extent of the law.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

This is an attempt at coercion. It is an attempt to carry politics into business, and to induce people to boycott a home industry under the pretense that it is a foreign corporation and that its members have violated the law. A majority of the stock of the Indianapolis Brewing Company is owned in this country. It is essentially an Indianapolis industry. It pays out a great many thousands of dollars annually in wages to Indianapolis people. This, of course, would not entitle it to violate law, but it should entitle it to fair treatment and preserve it from an attempted boycott for political reasons.

phrasing Hamlet, one might say: "That it should come to this! Not two months married! nay, not so much, not two. A little month." And yet the chairman of the Populist committee says, "The Populists are not entirely satisfied with the sincerity and honest intentions of the Democracy." At this rate there may be a divorce before the election.

The Muncie fake which the Sentinel's own reporters perpetrated upon it in a forged interview with Captain Hillgoss, chairman of the Popocratic committee in Delaware county, must have disgusted those who would be the friends of that paper. It was so outrageous a fake, and put Captain Hillgoss in such a false position before the people of Muncie that he called at the newspaper offices to declare that he never made such a statement as the Sentinel published and that no such conditions as the Sentinel set forth in the forged interview with Muncie. The fact is that the Sentinel has pursued such a course in the campaign that nobody outside of a few blind followers of Bryan believe anything it says. It is even suspected that the Sentinel is pursuing this remarkable course in order to avenge itself upon those Popocrats who forced it into the support of free coinage after it had, time and again, declared that it would involve the country in a panic.

Half a dozen times since Governor Matthews began to make speeches correspondents have reported, in effect, that which was taken by a stenographer at Paoli in regard to what General Harrison said in New York. The extract from the Paoli speech came to the Journal, not only as reported by a stenographer, but was vouched